

## Standards Process for ESE Data Systems - Errata

### Copyright Notice

Copyright © 2005 United States Government as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. No copyright is claimed in the United States under Title 17, U.S.Code. All Other Rights Reserved.

### Status of this RFC

This document lists the known errata to ESE-RFC-002, the Standards Process for ESE Data Systems. Distribution is unlimited. Each entry has the following information (adapted from W3C errata pages):

- The date it was added to the errata page.
- The name of the person or group who reported the problem or requested the change.
- Whether the entry is considered:
  - an **Error** that affects the conformance and/or interoperability of syntax and processing,
  - an **Editorial** error, such as a typographical mistake,
  - a structural defect in the **Document**, such as an incorrect fragment identifier,
  - a **Clarification** with respect to a misstatement or misinterpretation of the specification,
  - a **Caveat** where subsequent experience has shown that a recommendation of the specification was incorrect or needs further qualification.
- The version and section referred to.
- A description of the problem and correction if applicable.

Please report errors in this document to the RFC Editor at [spg-staff@lists.nasa.gov](mailto:spg-staff@lists.nasa.gov).

**Date:** 2005-12-12

**Reported by:** SPG

**Type of Change:** Caveat

**Affected Section:** Version 1, Section 4.1

Add a new subsection as follows:

#### 4.1.3 Adoption of Existing Standards

The SPG may choose to review standards already maintained by other groups, in order to consider NASA Earth Science Data Systems use of the standard. The SPG may also consider profiles or extensions of existing standards. In this case, review of the parent standard is not required, but the RFC documenting the profile or extension must reference the base standard.

If profile or extension is written such that the user needs a copy of the base standard to implement the profile/extension, then the SPG will keep a copy of the base standard on the SPG website, in addition to the profile/extension RFC. If only the profile/extension document is needed for implementation, then the SPG will keep a copy of the RFC only. In any of these cases, the SPG should provide link to current authoritative version of the base standard.

---

**Date:** 2005-12-12

**Reported by:** SPG

**Type of Change:** Caveat

**Affected Section:** Version 1, Section 4

Add a new subsection as follows:

#### 4.3 Document Maintenance

After an RFC is approved, further editorial changes may be required in order to correct errors or provide clarification. In such cases, the approved RFC may be modified, with internal review by the SPG. Substantive technical changes, however, may not be made to an existing RFC, but should be submitted for review in a new RFC.

In order to guard against releasing several new versions in quick succession, editorial changes may be captured in a separate Errata document. At the discretion of the RFC Editor (not necessarily original editor, but responsible person appointed by SPG), a new version of the RFC may be issued, incorporating any existing errata and/or new changes.